JOHN B. SULLIVAN (State Bar No. 96742) MARY KATE SULLIVAN (State Bar No. 180203) SEVERSON & WERSON A Professional Corporation One Embarcadero Ĉenter, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-3344 Facsimile: (415) 956-0439 Attorneys for Defendant SunTrust Mortgage Inc. 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA-SAN JOSE 10 11 Claude & Pamela Bennett, Case No.: CV10-03375 JF 12 Plaintiffs, DECLARATION OF MARY KATE SULLIVAN IN SUPPORT OF **13** ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION VS. PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-3 SunTrust Mortgage Inc., AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO "ORIGINAL 15 Defendant. PETITION" LOCAL RULE 6-3 16 FRCP 6(B)(1)(A) 17 Accompanying Documents: Motion, Proposed Order 18 19 I, Mary Kate Sullivan, declare: 20 I am an attorney admitted to practice law in California. I am a special counsel for 21 Severson & Werson, A Professional Corporation, attorneys for Defendant SunTrust Mortgage, 22 Inc. ("SunTrust") in this case. I make this declaration in support of SunTrust's request pursuant 23 to Local Rule 6-3 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A) for an extension of time to answer or otherwise 24 respond to the Plaintiff's pleading entitled "original Petition," hereinafter referred to as 25 Complaint. In support of this request, SunTrust represent as follows: 26 2. The Complaint was filed on August 2, 2010 and served on August 3, 2010. 27 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1), SunTrust's response to the Complaint is currently due on 28 August 23, 2010.

> Declaration of Mary Kate Sullivan in support of Administrative Motion Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3 Case No.: CV10-03375 JF

- 3. The 32 page Complaint asserts complex claims regarding the entire financing industry and industry practices going back to the 1980's and 1990 to the present and regarding SunTrust practices in general and specific to plaintiff's loan[s] with SunTrust. The paragraphs of the complaint are unnumbered. Counsel for SunTrust were retained shortly before the responsive due date and will not have sufficient time to assess the claims asserted and determine the appropriate response by the August 23, 2010 deadline to respond.) Sets forth with particularity, the reasons for the requested.
- 4. I have been unable to make contact with pro per plaintiffs to request an extension of time to respond to the Complaint as none of the documents filed or served contain a telephone number for either named pro per plaintiff.
- 5. SunTrust will be substantially harmed and prejudiced if the extension is not granted in that it will be unable to fully and adequately assess the multitude of facts, allegations and arguments made in plaintiffs' "Original Petition" to determine the exact claims being made against SunTrust.
- 6. This is SunTrust's first request for extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.
- 7. The requested extension will not have any adverse effect on the schedule for this case as there are no shortly impending dates scheduled in this matter and the matter is in the very early stages of litigation

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California this 18th day of August 2010.

Mary Kate Sullivan

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to this action. I am employed in the City of San Francisco, California; my business address is Severson & Werson, One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600, San Francisco, CA 94111.

On the date set forth below I served a copy, with all exhibits, of the following document(s):

## DECLARATION OF MARY KATE SULLIVAN IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-3 AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO "ORIGINAL" PETITION (LOCAL RULE 6-3; FRCP 6(B)(1)(A))

on all interested parties in said case addressed as follows:

Claude and Pamela Bennett 508 Herrmann Drive Monterey, CA 93940

Plaintiffs in Pro Per

By placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in San Francisco, California in sealed envelopes with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration is executed in San Francisco, California, on August 18, 2010.

Verdia Nash

23

20

21

22

\_ .

2425

26

27

28